



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,145	06/19/2003	Sang-Yup Lee	HYLEE80.001C1	5042
20995	7590	10/10/2008	EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MARLETT OLSON & BEAR LLP			MINNIFIELD, NITA M	
2040 MAIN STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FOURTEENTH FLOOR			1645	
IRVINE, CA 92614				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/10/2008		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com
eOAPilot@kmob.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/600,145	LEE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	N. M. Minnifield	1645	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

(1) N. M. Minnifield. (3) _____.

(2) Mincheol Kim. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 October 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1-26

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/N. M. Minnifield/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1645

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicants that dependency of allowed claims is not correct. Allowed claims 1-19, 25, 20-23, 26 and 24 have been renumbered 1-26 respectively. Corrections will be made via a Printer Rush. Applicants in agreement to change in claim dependency. .